



UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DEL ESTADO DE MÉXICO

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS

PROGRAMA DE MAESTRÍA EN CIENCIAS

**“AGUJERANDO CONOS Y
SUSPENSIONES DE CONTINUOS
SUAVES”**

**TESIS POR ARTÍCULO
ESPECIALIZADO**

ALUMNA:

VERÓNICA FLORES HUERTA



TUTORES ACADÉMICOS:

**DR. JOSÉ GUADALUPE ANAYA ORTEGA
DR. ENRIQUE CASTAÑEDA ALVARADO
DR. DAVID MAYA ESCUDERO**

TOLUCA, ESTADO DE MÉXICO, FEBRERO 2018.

Making holes in the product of two smooth dendroids

José G. Anaya^a, Enrique Castañeda-Alvarado^a, Verónica Flores^a, David Maya^{a,*}

^a*Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Facultad de Ciencias, Instituto Literario 100, Col. Centro, Toluca, México, CP 50000.*

Abstract

A *continuum* is a non-degenerate connected compact metric space. Let X and Y be continua such that $X \times Y$ is unicoherent. An element $(p, q) \in X \times Y$ makes a hole in $X \times Y$ if $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is not unicoherent. In this paper, we characterize the elements $(p, q) \in X \times Y$ such that (p, q) makes a hole in $X \times Y$, where X and Y are smooth dendroids.

Keywords: Continuum, smooth dendroid, unicoherence, make a hole, property (b)

2010 MSC: 54F55, 54B10

1. Introduction

Unicoherence is an important topological property. It arose during the study of topological properties of the Euclidan spaces, cubes, spheres, real projective spaces, Hilbert cube and non-separating Peano subcontinuum of the 2-sphere. Since its introduction, this concept has seen a increasing interest among topologist having as result a lot of papers in the literature related to it. To the present day, there are unsolved question about unicoherence. Intuitively, we can say that a connected space will be unicoherent if it has no “holes”. The unicoherence is not a hereditary property. Based in this last fact, our interest

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: jgao@uaemex.mx (José G. Anaya), eca@uaemex.mx (Enrique Castañeda-Alvarado), verafh1011@gmail.com (Verónica Flores), dmayae@outlook.com, dmayae@uaemex.mx (David Maya)

10 is aimed at characterizing the points of a unicoherent space such that its complement, as a subspace of the original space, is also unicoherent. In intuitive terms, the points of this class make a “hole” in the space. The classification of the points that make a hole in a unicoherent space has been used to distinguish spaces, especially in hyperspaces of continua (see [1] and [2]). Naturally,
15 one can wonder about the classification of the points that make a hole in other topological structures.

In formal terms, a connected topological space Z is *unicoherent* if whenever $Z = A \cup B$, where A and B are connected closed subsets of Z , we have $A \cap B$ is connected, and an element z of a unicoherent space Z *makes a hole in Z* if
20 $Z - \{z\}$ is not unicoherent.

In this paper, we are interested in the following problem.

Problem. Let X and Y be continua such that $X \times Y$ is unicoherent. For which elements $(p, q) \in X \times Y$, (p, q) makes a hole in $X \times Y$.

Theorems in Section 4 in the current paper give a partial solution to our
25 problem, namely, when X and Y are smooth dendroids.

The use of continuous function of a given space to the unit circumference in the Euclidean plane has been the most powerful tool to study unicoherence. The known results until now of this technique are not easily applicable for the case of the space that results from removing a point to the product of two smooth
30 dendroids. This leads us to introduce a completely novelty method using this class of continuous functions to show that a metric space is unicoherent.

2. Notation and auxiliary results

The symbols \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} represent the set of real numbers and the set of positive integers, respectively.

35 A point z of a connected topological space Z is called *cut point* (*non-cut point*) if $Z - \{z\}$ is not connected (connected). The set $Cut(Z)$ consists of all cut points of Z and let $NCut(Z) = Z - Cut(Z)$.

The subspace $[0, 1]$ of the real line \mathbb{R} with the usual topology is denoted by I . An arc is any space homeomorphic to I .

40 By an *end point* of an arcwise connected topological space Y , we mean end point in the classical sense, which means a point that is a non-cut point of any arc in Y that contains it. The set of all end points of Y is denoted by $E(Y)$.

The word *map* stands for a continuous function.

Given a topological space Y , a subspace X of Y is said to be a *deformation*
45 *retract* of Y if there exists a map $h : Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $h(y, 1) = y$ for every $y \in Y$, $h(Y \times \{0\}) = X$, and $h(x, 0) = x$ for every $x \in X$.

A topological space Y is said to be *contractible* if there exists $y \in Y$ satisfying that $\{y\}$ is a deformation retract of Y . In this case, the map h is called *contraction from Y to $\{y\}$* .

50 Convention: when the domain of a sequence in a metric space X is understood from the context, or is not relevant to the discussion, for sake of simplicity, we write $\langle w_k \rangle$ instead of $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. For a metric space X , let $\mathbb{S}(X)$ be the set of all pairs $(\langle w_k \rangle, w_0)$ where $\langle w_k \rangle$ is a sequence in X converging to $w_0 \in X$.

The result bellow is well know.

55 **Proposition 2.1.** *Let X and Y be metric spaces, let $x_0 \in X$ and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then, f is continuous at x_0 if and only if for each $(\langle x_n \rangle, x_0) \in \mathbb{S}(X)$ there exists a subsequence $\langle f(x_{n_k}) \rangle$ of $\langle f(x_n) \rangle$ such that $(\langle f(x_{n_k}) \rangle, f(x_0)) \in \mathbb{S}(Y)$.*

A map f from a connected topological space Z into the unit circumference
60 centred at the origin in the Euclidean plane S^1 has a *lifting* if there exists a map $h : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = \exp \circ h$, where \exp is the exponential map of \mathbb{R} onto S^1 defined by $\exp(t) = (\cos(2\pi t), \sin(2\pi t))$. A connected topological space Z has *property (b)* if each map from Z into S^1 has a lifting.

The next results appear in the literature, we present them due that they will
65 be used frequently in our main theorems.

Proposition 2.2. [3, Proposition 9, p. 2001] *Let Z be a topological space. If Z is contractible, then Z has property (b).*

Theorem 2.3. [4, Théorème 6', p. 168] *Let Z be a connected metric space. If Z has property (b), then Z is unicoherent.*

70 **Theorem 2.4.** [5, Theorem 4, p. 407] *Let Z be a connected topological space, let $z_0 \in Z$, let $f : Z \rightarrow S^1$ be a map and let $t \in \exp^{-1}(f(z_0))$. If f has a lifting, then there exists a map $h : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = \exp \circ h$ and $h(z_0) = t$.*

The next result is obtained immediately from [6, (3), p. 64]

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a connected metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow S^1$ be a map. If $h_1, h_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are liftings of f and there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that*
75 *$h_1(x_0) = h_2(x_0)$, then $h_1 = h_2$.*

The property (b) is a topological property and each arc has property (b). Both facts will be used repeatedly without mentioning why is true throughout this paper.

80 **Theorem 2.6.** [4, Théorème 3', p. 168] *Let Z be a connected metric space. If there exist closed subsets A and B of Z having property (b) such that $A \cap B$ is connected and $Z = A \cup B$, then Z has property (b).*

The symbol F_H denotes the *harmonic fan*, that is $F_H = \bigcup \{J_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$, where $J_0 = \{(t, 0) : t \in I\}$ and $J_k = \{(t, \frac{t}{k}) : t \in I\}$ are contained in \mathbb{R}^2 for each
85 $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $(l, r) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times I$, define $J_l(r) = \{(t, u) \in J_l : t \leq r\}$.

Given a continuum X , we define its hyperspace $C(X)$ as the space of all subcontinua of X endowed with the Hausdorff metric (see [7, p. 9]).

Concerning to the convergence of a sequence in $C(X)$, we will use the following equivalence without mentioning explicitly: if $\langle A_k \rangle$ is a sequence in $C(X)$,
90 then $x \in \lim A_k$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\langle x_k \rangle$ satisfying that $\lim x_k = x$ and $x_k \in A_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

A *Whitney map for $C(X)$* (see [7, p. 105]) means a map $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow I$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- For any $A, B \in C(X)$ such that $A \subseteq B$ and $A \neq B$, $\mu_X(A) < \mu_X(B)$
- 95 • $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for every $x \in X$,
- $\mu(X) = 1$.

For any continuum X , by [7, Theorem 13.4, p. 107], there exists a Whitney map for $C(X)$.

A *dendroid* is an arcwise connected, hereditarily unicoherent continuum.
 100 Let X be a dendroid. The symbol xy denote the unique arc from x to y , for each pair of elements $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ and $xy = \{x\}$ when $x = y$.

A dendroid Z is *smooth at v* if for each $(\langle a_n \rangle, a) \in \mathbb{S}(Z)$, then $(\langle va_n \rangle, va) \in \mathbb{S}(C(Z))$. A continuum Z is a *smooth dendroid* if it is a dendroid and there exists a point v in Z such that Z is smooth at v . For sake of simplicity, we say
 105 that a pair (Z, v) is a smooth dendroid provided that Z is a smooth dendroid at v .

3. Results auxiliaries

We define an auxiliary function which will be useful in proofs of the next results.

110 Let (X, v) a smooth dendroid and fix μ a Whitney map for $C(X)$. Define $g_X : X \times I \rightarrow X$ by $g_X(x, t)$ is the only point of vx such that $\mu(vg_X(x, t)) = t\mu(vx)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X, v) a smooth dendroid. Then g_X satisfies each one of the following conditions.*

- (3.1)1) g_X is well defined.
- 115 (3.1)2) g_X is continuous.
- (3.1)3) If $x \in X - \{v\}$ and $g_X(x, t) = g_X(x, s)$, then $t = s$.
- (3.1)4) For each $x \in X$, $g_X(x, 0) = v$. Moreover, if $(x, t) \in (X - \{v\}) \times I$, then $g_X(x, t) = v$ if only if $t = 0$.

(3.1.5) For each $x \in X$, $g_X(x, 1) = x$. Moreover, if $(x, t) \in (X - \{v\}) \times I$, then
 120 $g_X(x, t) = x$ if only if $t = 1$.

(3.1.6) For each $(x, t) \in X \times I$, $g_X(\{x\} \times [0, t]) = vg_X(x, t)$.

PROOF. First, for each $t \in I$, from the inclusion $g(v, t) \in vv = \{v\}$, it follows that $g(v, t) = v$. Now, let $(x, t) \in (X - \{v\}) \times I$ be arbitrary. Note that $\mathcal{A} = \{vz : z \in vx\}$ is an arc in $C(X)$ whose end points are $\{v\}$ and $\{vx\}$. Since
 125 $0 = \mu(\{v\}) \leq t\mu(vx) \leq \mu(vx)$, by the continuity of the one-to-one map $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}}$, there exists a unique point $g_X(x, t) \in vx$ such that $\mu(vg_X(x, t)) = t\mu(vx)$. The proof of (3.1.1) is complete.

Applying Proposition 2.1, we are going to show that g_X is continuous at each point of $X \times I$. Let $(x_0, t_0) \in X \times I$ be arbitrary. Let $(\langle(x_k, t_k)\rangle, (x_0, t_0)) \in$
 130 $\mathbb{S}(X \times I)$. We may assume that there exists $y_0 \in X$ such that $(\langle g_X(x_k, t_k)\rangle, y_0) \in \mathbb{S}(X)$. Now, since $g_X(x_k, t_k) \in vx_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\langle vx_k\rangle, vx_0) \in \mathbb{S}(C(X))$, we obtain that $y_0 \in vx_0$. By the continuity of μ and fact that X is smooth at v , we have $\mu(vy_0) = \lim \mu(vg_X(x_k, t_k)) = \lim t_k \mu(vx_k) = t_0 \mu(vx_0)$. Then, $g_X(x_0, t_0) = y_0$. This finishes the proof of (3.1.2).

135 Next, we shall argue (3.1.3). Our assumptions guarantee that $\mu(vg_X(x, t)) = \mu(vg_X(x, s))$ and $\mu(vx) > 0$. Hence, by the definition of g_X , we deduce that $t\mu(vx) = s\mu(vx)$. This implies that $t = s$.

Observe that the first part of (3.1.4) and of (3.1.5) is a consequence of the definition of g_X and the second part of both follows from (3.1.3).

140 In order to show (3.1.6), let $(x, t) \in (X - \{v\}) \times I$ be arbitrary. Hence, $\mu(vx) > 0$. First, let $s \in [0, t]$. Then $g_X(x, s), g_X(x, t) \in vx$ satisfy that $\mu(vg_X(x, s)) = s\mu(vx)$ and $\mu(vg_X(x, t)) = t\mu(vx)$. So, since either $vg_X(x, s) \subseteq vg_X(x, t)$ and $vg_X(x, t) \subseteq vg_X(x, s)$, by the choice of s , we conclude that $vg_X(x, s) \subseteq vg_X(x, t)$. This implies that $g_X(x, s) \in vg_X(x, t)$. Hence, from
 145 the continuity of g_X (see (3.1.2)), it follows that $g_X(\{x\} \times [0, t])$ is a subcontinuum of the arc $vg_X(x, t)$ containing its end points $g_X(x, 0) = v$ and $g_X(x, t)$. Then $g_X(\{x\} \times [0, t]) = vg_X(x, t)$. Clearly, (3.1.6) holds whenever $x = v$.

The map g_X will be used constantly in this paper without mentioning its definition explicitly.

150 As a consequence of Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.2 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a smooth dendroid. Then X is contractible and so X has property (b).*

The continuum F_H is a smooth dendroid and hence F_H has property (b).
155 This fact will be used repeatedly throughout this paper.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X and Y be connected metric space having property (b) and let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Then $(X \times \{y\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$ has property (b).*

PROOF. Since property (b) is a topological property, we obtain that $X \times \{y\}$ and $\{x\} \times Y$ have property (b). Now, by Theorem 2.6 we deduce that $(X \times \{y\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$ has property (b).
160

In order to give necessary and sufficient conditions to any metric space have property (b), we introduce the following notions.

For a family \mathcal{V} of subsets of X , a map φ from any topological space into X is called *monotone with respect to \mathcal{V}* provided that for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ is
165 connected.

Let \mathcal{U} be a covering of a connected metric space X . Then, X is said to be *\mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b)* provided that each element of \mathcal{U} has property (b), there exists a connected closed subset M of X having property (b) such that $M \cap U$ is connected and non-empty for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and if $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that
170 $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a connected subset $L(U, V)$ of X having property (b) and $L(U, V)$ fulfils each one of the following conditions $U \cap V \subseteq L(U, V)$, $(U \cap M) \cup (V \cap M) \subseteq L(U, V) \cap M$, the sets $L(U, V) \cap U$, $L(U, V) \cap V$ and $L(U, V) \cap M$ are non-empty connected subsets of X . For $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0) \in \mathbb{S}(X)$, the space X is said to be *\mathcal{U} -Maya space at $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0)$* , if there exist a subset \mathcal{V}
175 of \mathcal{U} such that $\bigcap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$ and $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$, a Hausdorff space F

having property (b) and a map $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$ which is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} fulfilling $\varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{V}) \neq \emptyset$ and some $(\langle y_k \rangle, y_0) \in \mathbb{S}(F)$ satisfies that $\varphi(y_k) = x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The space X is said to be \mathcal{U} -Maya space if and only if X is \mathcal{U} -Maya space at each $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0) \in \mathbb{S}(X)$.

180 **Lemma 3.4.** *Let X be metric connected space and let \mathcal{U} be a covering of X . If $U \in \mathcal{U}$ has property (b), then X is \mathcal{U} -Maya space at each $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0) \in \mathbb{S}(U)$.*

PROOF. Let $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0) \in \mathbb{S}(U)$ be arbitrary. Consider $\mathcal{V} = \{U\}$, $F = U$ and $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. Notice that F has property (b), $\bigcap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$, $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$, $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0) \in \mathbb{S}(F)$ satisfies that $\varphi(x_k) = x_k$ for each
185 $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} such that $\varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{V}) \neq \emptyset$. So, \mathcal{V} , F , φ and $\langle x_k \rangle$ satisfy the required properties.

Lemma 3.5. *A connected metric space X has property (b) if and only if there exists a covering \mathcal{U} of X such that X is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b) and X is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.*

190 PROOF. The necessity follows from the fact that X is $\{X\}$ -covered with respect property (b) and X is a $\{X\}$ -Maya space.

Suppose that exists a covering \mathcal{U} of X such that X is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b) and X is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space. We will show that X has the property (b). To this end, let $f : X \rightarrow S^1$ be a map.

195 Since X is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b), there exists a connected closed subset M of X fulfilling the conditions in the definition. Then M has property (b), therefore there exists a map $\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_M = \exp \circ \gamma$.

Now, for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $z_U \in U \cap M$. The assumption each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ has property (b), Theorem 2.4 and the equality $f|_M = \exp \circ \gamma$ guarantee the
200 existence of a map $\beta_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in such way $f|_U = \exp \circ \beta_U$ and $\beta_U(z_U) = \gamma(z_U)$.

Define $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\beta(x) = \beta_U(x)$ if $x \in U$. To see that β is well defined, let $x \in X$ be arbitrary and let $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ be such that $x \in U \cap V$. As a consequence of the fact that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ there exists a connected subset $L(U, V)$ of X having

property (b) and satisfying the required properties of the definition. Denote
 205 $L(U, V)$ by L . Fix $a \in L \cap M$. Applying Theorem 2.4, since $f(a) = \exp \circ \gamma(a)$
 there exists a map $\lambda : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ fulfilling $f|_L = \exp \circ \lambda$ and $\lambda(a) = \gamma(a)$. Now, let
 us argue that $\lambda(x) = \beta_U(x) = \beta_V(x)$.

Since $L \cap M$ is connected, $\gamma(a) = \lambda(a)$ and $\exp \circ (\gamma|_{L \cap M}) = f|_{L \cap M} =$
 $\exp \circ (\lambda|_{L \cap M})$ the equality $\gamma|_{L \cap M} = \lambda|_{L \cap M}$ follows from Proposition 2.5. This
 210 and the inclusions $z_U \in U \cap M \subseteq L \cap M$ imply $\lambda(z_U) = \gamma(z_U)$. Now, by
 the choice of β_U , it follows that $\beta_U(z_U) = \gamma(z_U) = \lambda(z_U)$. Observe that
 $\exp \circ (\lambda|_{L \cap U}) = f|_{L \cap U} = \exp \circ (\beta_U|_{L \cap U})$. Now, invoke Proposition 2.5 to prove
 that $\lambda|_{L \cap U} = \beta_U|_{L \cap U}$. Our assumptions ensure that $x \in U \cap V \subseteq L$ and so
 $\lambda(x) = \beta_U(x)$. Similarly, we deduce $\lambda(x) = \beta_V(x)$. In conclusion $\beta_U(x) =$
 215 $\beta_V(x)$.

From the definition of β , it follows that $f = \exp \circ \beta$.

To check the continuity of β , using Proposition 2.1 we are going to show
 that β is continuous at each point of X . Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and let
 $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0) \in \mathbb{S}(X)$. It suffices to prove that there exists a subsequence $\langle x_{k_j} \rangle$ of
 220 $\langle x_k \rangle$ such that $(\langle \beta(x_{k_j}) \rangle, \beta(x_0)) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

By hypothesis we deduce that X is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space at $(\langle x_k \rangle, x_0)$, so there
 exist a subset \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} , a Hausdorff space F having property (b), $(\langle y_k \rangle, y_0) \in \mathbb{S}(F)$
 and a map $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$ which is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} fulfilling the
 conditions in the definition.

225 The continuity of φ and of f implies that $f \circ \varphi : F \rightarrow S^1$ is continuous. Fix
 $c \in \varphi^{-1}(\cap \mathcal{V})$. Since F has the property (b), by Theorem 2.4 there exists a
 map $h : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f \circ \varphi = \exp \circ h$ and $h(c) = \beta \circ \varphi(c)$.

Now, let us argue $h(y_k) = \beta \circ \varphi(y_k) = \beta(x_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be arbitrary. Choose $V \in \mathcal{V}$ in such way $x_k \in V$.
 230 Then $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ is connected. Now, since $\exp \circ h = \exp \circ (\beta \circ \varphi)$, we obtain that
 $\exp \circ (h|_{\varphi^{-1}(V)}) = \exp \circ (\beta \circ \varphi|_{\varphi^{-1}(V)}) = \exp \circ \beta|_V \circ \varphi = \exp \circ \beta_V \circ \varphi$. Finally, the
 inclusion $c \in \varphi^{-1}(V)$ and Proposition 2.5 imply that $h|_{\varphi^{-1}(V)} = \beta_V \circ \varphi$. Thus,
 $h(y_k) = \beta_V \circ \varphi(y_k) = \beta_V(x_k) = \beta(x_k)$. To conclude, observe that the continuity
 of h guarantees that $\lim \beta(x_k) = \beta(x_0)$.

235 **Theorem 3.6.** *Let X and Y be connected metric spaces and let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$.
 If there exists a covering \mathcal{U} of $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ such that $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is
 \mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b) and $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space,
 then $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ has property (b).*

PROOF. The connectedness of $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ follows from [8, Lemma 2.2,
 240 p. 26]. Now, our assumptions and Lemma 3.5 ensure that $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$
 has property (b).

Corollary 3.7. *Let X and Y be continua such that $X \times Y$ is unicoherent and
 let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$. If there exists a covering \mathcal{U} of $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ such that
 $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b) and $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$
 245 is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space, then (p, q) does not make a hole in $X \times Y$.*

PROOF. A consequence of Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 2.3 is the unicoherence of
 $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$, and so (p, q) does not make a hole in $X \times Y$.

For a smooth dendroid (X, v_X) and $p \in X$, set $\Gamma_p^X = \{x \in X : p \notin v_X x\} \cup$
 $\{p\}$, $\Omega_p^X = \{x \in X : p \in v_X x\}$ and if p satisfies that $\Omega_p^X - \{p\} \neq \emptyset$, then
 250 $\Delta_X(p)$ denotes the family of subsets of the form $S \cup \{p\}$ of X where S is an
 arc-component of $\Omega_p^X - \{p\}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and $(p, q) \in X \times Y$.
 Then each one of the following statements holds.*

(3.8.1) $g_X(\Gamma_p^X \times I) = \Gamma_p^X$.

255 (3.8.2) *The subset Γ_p^X of X is connected, $v_X \in \Gamma_p^X$ and Γ_p^X is contractible.*

(3.8.3) *If $q \neq v_Y$, then the set $(X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is contractible and so it has
 property (b).*

(3.8.4) *The set Ω_p^X is a subcontinuum of X and (Ω_p^X, p) is a smooth dendroid.*

(3.8.5) $g_{\Omega_p^X}(T \times I) = T$ for each $T \in \Delta_X(p)$.

260 (3.8.6) *Each element of $\Delta_X(p)$ has property (b).*

(3.8.7) If $T \in \Delta_X(v_X)$ is such that $y \notin T$ and $s \in I$, then $g_X(y, s) \in T$ if only if $s = 0$.

PROOF. In order to show (3.8.1), let $(x, t) \in \Gamma_p^X \times I$ be arbitrary. Observe that the condition $x \in \Gamma_p^X$ implies that $v_X x \subseteq \Gamma_p^X$. Thus, (3.1.6) ensures that $g_X(x, t) \in \Gamma_p^X$. Then the inclusion $g_X(\Gamma_p^X \times I) \subseteq \Gamma_p^X$ holds. Now, in light of (3.1.5), we deduce that $\Gamma_p^X \subseteq g_X(\Gamma_p^X \times I)$.

The connectedness of Γ_p^X follows from facts that $v_X \in \bigcap \{v_X x : x \in \Gamma_p^X\}$ and $\Gamma_p^X = \bigcup \{v_X x : x \in \Gamma_p^X\}$. Now, the equality of (3.8.1) and the conditions (3.1.4) and (3.1.5) guarantee that $g_X|_{\Gamma_p^X \times I} : \Gamma_p^X \times I \rightarrow \Gamma_p^X$ is a contraction. Therefore, Γ_p^X is contractible. Then (3.8.2) is true.

We shall argue (3.8.3). Set $Z = (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}$. In order to get a contraction of A , define $G : A \times I \rightarrow A$ by $G((x, y), t) = (g_X(x, t), g_Y(y, t))$. First, let $((a, b), t) \in A \times I$ be arbitrary. By (3.8.1), we deduce that $G((a, b), t) \in X \times \Gamma_q^Y$. Now, we need to show that $G((a, b), t) \neq (p, q)$. To this end, suppose to the contrary that $G((a, b), t) = (p, q)$. Thus, $g_X(a, t) = p$ and $g_Y(b, t) = q$. Since $b \in \Gamma_q^Y$, we infer that $b = q$ and, by (3.1.5), we get $t = 1$. Hence, $a = g_X(a, 1) = p$. In conclusion, $(a, b) = (p, q)$, a contradiction. On the other hand, the continuity of G follows from (3.1.2). Finally, the conditions (3.1.4) and (3.1.5) guarantee that G is a contraction.

Observe that $p \in \bigcap \{px : x \in \Omega_p^X\}$ and $\Omega_p^X = \bigcup \{px : x \in \Omega_p^X\}$. Hence, Ω_p^X is connected. To show that Ω_p^X is closed in X , let $(\langle x_k \rangle, x) \in \mathbb{S}(X)$ be such that each $x_k \in \Omega_p^X$. Our assumption (X, v_X) is a smooth dendroid guarantees that $(\langle v_X x_k \rangle, v_X x) \in \mathbb{S}(C(X))$. Since $p \in v_X x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we infer that $p \in v_X x$ and so $x \in \Omega_p^X$. This shows that Ω_p^X is closed in X . Therefore Ω_p^X is a subcontinuum of X . Hence, we conclude that (3.8.4) holds.

In order to prove (3.8.5), let $T \in \Delta_X(p)$ be arbitrary. First, we are going to argue the inclusion $g_{\Omega_p^X}(T \times I) \subseteq T$. Let $(x, t) \in T \times I$ be arbitrary. Notice that the condition $x \in T$ implies that $px \subseteq T$. Thus, by (3.1.6), we obtain that $g_{\Omega_p^X}(x, t) \in T$. Now, in light of (3.1.5), we deduce that $T \subseteq g_{\Omega_p^X}(T \times I)$.

290 A consequence of Proposition 2.2 and the fact that $g_{\Omega_p^X} : T \times I \rightarrow T$ is a contraction (see (3.8.5), (3.1.4) and (3.1.5)) is that T has property (b). So, (3.8.6) holds.

We are going to prove the first part of (3.8.7). Our assumption $y \notin T$ implies that $y \in X - \{v_X\}$ and $v_X y \cap T = \{v_X\}$. So, by (3.1.6), we have that
 295 $g_X(y, s) \in g_X(\{y\} \times I) \cap T = \{v_X\} = \{g_X(y, 0)\}$. Applying (3.1.4), we infer that $s = 0$. The second part is immediate, if $s = 0$, then $g_X(y, 0) = v_X \in T$.

Results below will be essential in the proof of the main theorems in the next section.

Lemma 3.9. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $(p, q) \in X \times$
 300 Y . If $T \in \Delta_X(p)$, then $T \times Y - \{(p, q)\}$ is $\{(T \times \{y\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y) : (x, y) \in (T - \{p\}) \times (Y - \{q\})\}$ -covered with respect property (b).*

PROOF. Set $Z = (T \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$, $E = T - \{p\}$ and $G = Y - \{q\}$. For each $(x, y) \in E \times G$, let $U(x, y) = (T \times \{y\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$. Define $\mathcal{U} = \{U(x, y) : (x, y) \in E \times G\}$. Observe that \mathcal{U} is a covering of Z .

305 First, by Corollary 3.2 and (3.8.6), Y and T have property (b). Thus, Theorem 3.3 guarantees that each element of \mathcal{U} has property (b).

Next, fix $r \in G$. Set $M = T \times \{r\}$. Notice that M is a connected closed subset of Z having property (b). Also, $M \cap U(x, r) = M$ and $M \cap U(x, y) = \{(x, r)\}$ are connected for each $(x, y) \in E \times (G - \{r\})$.

310 Finally, let $x, w \in E$ and $y, z \in G$ be arbitrary. We have that $U(x, y) \cap U(w, z) \neq \emptyset$. Set $J = xw$. Since E is arcwise connected, we infer that $J \subseteq E$. Define $L(U(x, y), U(w, z)) = (J \times Y) \cup U(x, y)$. For sake of simplicity, L will represent to $L(U(x, y), U(w, z))$. By [9] (7.5)], we conclude that $J \times Y$ has property (b). Hence, since $(J \times Y) \cap U(x, y) = (J \times \{y\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$ is
 315 connected, by Theorem 2.6, we obtain that L has property (b). Observe that $U(x, y) \cap U(w, z) \subseteq L$. We have that $U(w, z) \cap L = U(w, z)$ if $z = y$ and $U(w, z) \cap L = (J \times \{z\}) \cup (\{w\} \times Y)$ otherwise. Thus, the sets $L \cap M =$

M , $U(x, y) \cap L = U(x, y)$ and $U(w, z) \cap L$ are connected and the equality $(U(x, y) \cap M) \cup (U(w, z) \cap M) = M = L \cap M$ holds. Thus L fulfils all our requirements.

In conclusion, Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b).

Let X and Y be metric spaces. For a subset Z of $X \times Y$, the set of all elements $\langle (x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0) \rangle$ of $\mathbb{S}(Z)$ such that each subsequence $\langle (x_{k_j}, y_{k_j}) \rangle$ of $\langle (x_k, y_k) \rangle$ satisfies that the sets $\{x_{k_j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{y_{k_j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are infinity will be represented by $\mathbb{S}^*(Z)$. This notation will be used for the rest of the paper.

Lemma 3.10. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$. If $T \in \Delta_X(p)$, then $T \times Y - \{(p, q)\}$ has property (b).*

PROOF. In light of Theorem 3.6 it suffices to show the existence of a covering \mathcal{U} of $(T \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ such that $(T \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b) and $(T \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

Set $Z = (T \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$, $E = T - \{p\}$ and $G = Y - \{q\}$. For each $(x, y) \in E \times G$, let $U(x, y) = (T \times \{y\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$. Define $\mathcal{U} = \{U(x, y) : (x, y) \in E \times G\}$. Observe that \mathcal{U} is a covering of Z . Lemma 3.9 guarantees that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b).

In order to prove that Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space, let $\langle (x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(Z)$ be arbitrary. Taking subsequences, if it is necessary, by Lemma 3.4, we may assume that $\langle (x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}^*(Z)$ and we only need to consider the following cases.

Case I. $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \subseteq E$.

Fix $w \in G$. Consider $\mathcal{V} = \{U(x_k, w) : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$. Observe that $\{(x_k, y_k) : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$ and $(p, w) \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}$.

Define $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (g_{\Omega_p^X}(x_l, 3t), w) & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ (x_l, g_Y(w, 2 - 3t)) & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ (x_l, g_Y(y_l, 3t - 2)) & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{2}{3} \leq t \end{cases}$$

Let us show that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} . Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be arbitrary. In order to prove that $\varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w))$ is connected, define $A = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : x_k = x_l\}$ and $B = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : x_k \neq x_l\}$. We shall prove the following claims.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w))$.

If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{3})$, by (3.8.5), then $\varphi(t, u) \in T \times \{w\} \subseteq U(x_k, w)$.

Claim 2. $\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w))$.

If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in A} J_l$ and $t \geq \frac{1}{3}$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{x_k\} \times Y \subseteq U(x_k, w)$. From this and Claim 1, we can conclude that J_l is a subset of $\varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w))$ for each $l \in A$.

Claim 3. $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w)) = J_l(\frac{1}{3})$ for each $l \in B$.

Let $l \in B$ be arbitrary. Claim 1 guarantees that $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w))$. Now, from the definition of φ , the inclusion $(t, u) \in J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w))$ and the inequality $x_k \neq x_l$ imply that $t \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Thus, $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w))$ is a subset of $J_l(\frac{1}{3})$.

Next, invoke our last claims to show that $\varphi^{-1}(U(x_k, w)) = \left(\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l \in B} J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \right)$ is connected.

Finally, notice that $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{V})$ and $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, \mathcal{V} , F_H , φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ fulfil all our requirements.

Case II. $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq E$ and $x_0 = p$.

Then $y_0 \neq q$. So, we may assume that $\{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq G$. Fix $z \in E$ and consider $\mathcal{V} = \{U(z, y_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $(z, v_Y) \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}$. Let $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ be define by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (z, g_Y(y_i, 3t)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ (g_{\Omega_p^x}(z, 2 - 3t), y_i), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ (g_{\Omega_p^x}(x_l, 3t - 2), y_i), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{2}{3} \leq t \end{cases}$$

In order to prove that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} , let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be arbitrary and, set $A = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : y_l = y_k\}$ and $B = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : y_l \neq y_k\}$. The following claims will give that $\varphi^{-1}(U(z, y_k))$ is connected.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(z, y_k))$.

370 If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{3})$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y \subseteq U(z, y_k)$. This guarantees the inclusion $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(z, y_k))$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Claim 2. $\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(z, y_k))$.

Let $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in A} J_l$ be arbitrary. In light of Claim 1, we only need to suppose that $t \geq \frac{1}{3}$. By (3.8.5) we have that $\varphi(t, u) \in T \times \{y_k\} \subseteq U(z, y_k)$.

375 **Claim 3.** $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) = J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(z, y_k))$ for each $l \in B$.

Let $l \in B$ be arbitrary. Claim 1 ensures that $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(z, y_k))$. Now, if $(t, u) \in J_l$ is such that $\varphi(t, u) \in U(z, y_k)$, since $y_k \neq y_l$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y$ and $t \leq \frac{1}{3}$. The proof of our claim is complete.

Thus, claims 1, 2 and 3 imply that $\varphi^{-1}(U(z, y_k)) = \left(\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l \in B} J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \right)$
380 is connected.

On the other hand, we have $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{V})$. So, \mathcal{V} , F_H , φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ satisfies all our requirements.

In conclusion, Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

385 **Lemma 3.11.** *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroid and let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$. If $z \in v_X p - \{v_X, p\}$ and $T \in \Delta_Y(q)$, then $(\{z\} \times Y) \cup ((X \times T) - \{(p, q)\})$ has property (b).*

PROOF. In light Theorem 3.6 we need to prove that there exists a covering \mathcal{U} of $(\{z\} \times Y) \cup ((X \times T) - \{(p, q)\})$ such that $(\{z\} \times Y) \cup ((X \times T) - \{(p, q)\})$
390 is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect property (b) and $(\{z\} \times Y) \cup ((X \times T) - \{(p, q)\})$ is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

Set $Z = (\{z\} \times Y) \cup ((X \times T) - \{(p, q)\})$, $E = \{z\} \times Y$ and $G = (X \times T) - \{(p, q)\}$. Consider $\mathcal{U} = \{E, G\}$. Notice that \mathcal{U} is a covering of Z and $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$.

Let us argue that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b).

395 By Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.10, we conclude that each element of \mathcal{U} has property (b). Now, set $M = E = L(E, G)$. We have that M and L are connected closed subsets of Z having property (b). The sets $M \cap E = E$ and $M \cap G = \{z\} \times T$ are connected. Thus M satisfies the required properties of our definition. For sake of simplicity, L will represent to $L(E, G)$. Observe that
 400 the inclusions $E \cap G = \{z\} \times T \subseteq L$ and $(E \cap M) \cup (G \cap M) \subseteq L \cap M$ hold and the sets $L \cap E = E$, $L \cap G = \{z\} \times T$ and $L \cap M = M$ are connected and non-empty. Thus, L fulfilling the conditions in the definition. We can conclude that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b).

Now, in order to prove that Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space, let $((x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0)) \in$
 405 $\mathbb{S}(Z)$ be arbitrary. Taking subsequences, if it is necessary, by Lemma 3.4 and since E is a closed subset of Z , we only need to assume that each $(x_k, y_k) \in G - E$, $(x_0, y_0) \in E - G$ and $((x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0)) \in \mathbb{S}^*(Z)$.

The assumptions $(x_0, y_0) \in E - G$ and each $(x_k, y_k) \in G - E$ imply that $x_0 = z$, $y_0 \in \Omega_q^Y - T$ and $x_k \neq z$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we may assume that
 410 $p \notin \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $q \notin \{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We will consider two cases:

Case I. $z \in v_X x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In light of (3.8.4), we may consider the mappings $g_{\Omega_q^Y}$ and $g_{\Omega_z^X}$. Let $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ be defined by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (z, g_{\Omega_q^Y}(y_l, 2t)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (g_{\Omega_z^X}(x_l, 2t - 1), y_l), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \end{cases}$$

Notice that φ is well defined, the continuity of φ follows from (3.1.1), $\varphi(0, 0) \in$
 415 $\bigcap \mathcal{U}$ and so $\varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$.

The connectedness of $\varphi^{-1}(E)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G)$ shall be a consequence of the below claims.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G) \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and let $(t, u) \in J_l$ be such that $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\varphi(t, u) \in E$ and, by (3.8.5), $\varphi(t, u) \in G$. This implies that $(t, u) \in \varphi^{-1}(G) \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$.

Claim 2. $J_0 \subseteq E$.

Notice that $\varphi(J_0(\frac{1}{2})) \subseteq E$ and, by the definition of g_{Ω^x} and our assumption $z = x_0$, we obtain that $\varphi(t, 0) \in E$ for all $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. In conclusion, $\varphi(J_0) \subseteq E$.

Claim 3. $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(E) = J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. First, from the fact that $x_l \neq z$, by (3.1.4), for each $(t, u) \in J_l$ such that $\varphi(t, u) \in E$, we have that $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$ is a subset of $J_l(\frac{1}{2})$. The inclusion $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$ is guaranteed by Claim 1.

Claim 4. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Claim 1 ensures that $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$. Next, if $(t, u) \in J_l$ satisfies that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$, from the fact that $y_k \in T$, by (3.8.5) we infer that $\varphi(t, u) \in G$. Therefore, $J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 5. $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(G) = \{(0, 0)\}$.

By (3.8.7) and from our assumption $y_0 \notin T$, we infer that if $\varphi(y_0, t) \in G$, then $t = 0$. This proves our claim.

Thus, from claims 1-5, it follows that $\varphi^{-1}(E) = J_0 \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G) = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ are connected. This implies that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{U} .

Observe that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In conclusion \mathcal{U} , F_H , φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ fulfil all our requirement.

Case II. $z \notin v_X x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our assumption and the facts that $z \in v_X p - \{p\}$ and $x_0 = z$ imply that $p \notin v_X x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Define $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (g_X(x_l, 2t), q), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (x_l, g_{\Omega_q^X}(y_l, 2t - 1)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

to get a map. Notice that $\varphi(\frac{1}{2}, 0) = (z, q) \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ and hence $\varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$.

Next, we are going to show that $\varphi^{-1}(E)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G)$ are connected. To this
 445 end, we prove the following claims.

Claim 1. $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E) = \{(t, 0) \in J_0 : t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$.

First, let $t \in I$ be such that $\varphi(t, 0) \in E$. Since $x_0 = z$, by (3.1.5), we deduce
 that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E) \subseteq \{(t, 0) \in J_0 : t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Now, if
 $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, the equality $x_0 = z$ and (3.8.5) guarantee that $\varphi(t, 0) \in E$. The
 450 conclusion is that $\{(t, 0) \in J_0 : t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ is a subset of $\varphi^{-1}(E)$. This proves our
 claim.

Claim 2. $\varphi^{-1}(E) \cap \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l = \emptyset$.

This claim follows from the fact that $z \notin g_X(\{x_l\} \times I)$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ (see
 (3.1.6)).

455 **Claim 3.** $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

By (3.8.5) and $y_k \in T$, we have that $\varphi(J_l) \subseteq G$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 4. $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(G) = J_0(\frac{1}{2})$.

The inclusion $q \in T$ guarantees that $\varphi(J(\frac{1}{2})) \subseteq G$. On the other hand, if
 $t \in I$ is such that $\varphi(t, 0) \in G$, by (3.8.7), we obtain that $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. The proof of
 460 this claim is finished.

Thus, by claim 1-4, we obtain that $\varphi^{-1}(E) = \{(t, 0) \in J_0 : t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ and
 $\varphi^{-1}(G) = J_0(\frac{1}{2}) \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ are connected. This implies that φ is monotone with
 respect to \mathcal{U} .

Finally, notice that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, \mathcal{U} , F_H , φ
 465 and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F)$ fulfil all our requirements.

We have that Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

Lemma 3.12. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroid and let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$. If $z \in v_X p - \{v_X, p\}$, then $((X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$ has property (b).*

470 **PROOF.** For sake of simplicity, set $Z = ((X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$. In light of Theorem 3.6, it suffices to prove that exists a covering \mathcal{U} of Z such that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b) and Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

First, set $E = (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ and $G = \{z\} \times Y$. Consider $\mathcal{U} = \{E, G\}$. Notice that \mathcal{U} is a covering of Z and $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. Second, Corollary 3.2 and (3.8.3) 475 guarantees that each element of \mathcal{U} has property (b). Now, set $M = G = L(E, G)$. Then M and L are connected closed subsets of Z having property (b). Observe that $M \cap G = G$ and $M \cap E = \{z\} \times \Gamma_q^Y$ are connected. The symbol L will represent to $L(E, G)$. Notice that L is a connected closed subset of Z having property (b). These sets satisfy: $E \cap G = \{z\} \times \Gamma_q^Y \subseteq L$, $L \cap G = G$, 480 $L \cap E = \{z\} \times \Gamma_q^Y$ are connected, $L \cap M = M \neq \emptyset$ and $(G \cap M) \cup (E \cap M) \subseteq L \cap M$. Thus, L fulfilling the conditions in the definition. This finishes the proof of that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b).

In order to prove that Z is \mathcal{U} -Maya space, let $((x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0)) \in \mathbb{S}(Z)$ be arbitrary. Taking subsequences, if it is necessary, by Lemma 3.4 and the 485 condition G is a closed subset of Z , we only need to assume that $\{(x_k, y_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq E - G$, $(x_0, y_0) \in G - E$ and $((x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0)) \in \mathbb{S}^*(Z)$.

The assumptions $(x_0, y_0) \in G - E$ and each $(x_k, y_k) \in E - G$ guarantee that $x_0 = z$, $y_0 \in \Omega_q^Y - \{q\}$ and $z \notin \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus, we may assume that $x_k \neq p$ and $y_k \neq q$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

490 Now, we consider two cases.

Case I. $z \in v_X x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By (3.8.5), we can consider the mapping $g_{\Omega_x^X}$. Let $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ be defined by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (z, g_Y(y_l, 2t)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (g_{\Omega_x^X}(x_l, 2t - 1), y_l), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that φ is a map and $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{U})$.

Now, we shall prove the claims below to argue the connectedness of $\varphi^{-1}(E)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G)$.

495 **Claim 1.** $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E) \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

From the definition of φ , it follows that $\varphi(J_l(\frac{1}{2})) \subseteq G$. Now, if $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the inclusion $y_l \in \Gamma_q^Y$ and [\(3.8,1\)](#) guarantee that $\varphi(t, u) \in E$ for each $(t, u) \in J_l(\frac{1}{2})$.

Claim 2. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E)$.

500 If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ and $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$, since $y_l \in \Gamma_q^Y$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in E$. This and Claim 1 prove that $\varphi(J_l)$ is contained in E for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 3. $J_0(e) = J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$ where $e < \frac{1}{2}$ is such that $g_Y(y_0, 2e) = q$.

By [\(3.1,6\)](#), we deduce that $\varphi(J_0(e)) \subseteq \{z\} \times \Gamma_q^Y \subseteq E$. Then $J_0(e) \subseteq J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$. Now, let $t \in I$ such that $\varphi(t, 0) \in E$. From the fact that $y_0 \in \Omega_q^Y - \{q\}$, 505 it follows that $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, $g_Y(y_0, 2t) \in \Gamma_q^Y$. This implies that $g_Y(y_0, 2t) \in v_Y q = g_Y(\{y_0\} \times [0, 2e])$ and so $t \leq e$ (see [\(3.1,3\)](#)). We conclude that $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$ is a subset of $J_0(e)$.

Claim 4. $\varphi^{-1}(G) \cap J_l = J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Claim 1 ensures that $J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ is contained in $\varphi^{-1}(G)$. 510 Next, let $(t, u) \in J_l$ be such that $\varphi(t, u) \in G$. Since $z \neq x_l$, by [\(3.1,4\)](#), we have that $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This proves our claim.

Claim 5. $J_0 \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

By the definition of $g_{\Omega_z^X}$, it follows that $\varphi(t, 0) \in G$ for all $t \in I$.

Thus, from claims 2-5, it follows that $\varphi^{-1}(E) = J_0(e) \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G) = 515 J_0 \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ are connected. This proves that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{U} .

Notice that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So, \mathcal{U} , F_H , φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ satisfy the required properties.

Case II. $z \notin v_X x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our assumption and the choice $z \in v_X p - \{v_X, p\}$ imply that $p \notin v_X x_k$ for
 520 each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Define $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (g_X(x_l, 2t), v_Y), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (x_l, g_Y(y_l, 2t - 1)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \geq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

to get a map. Notice that $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\cap \mathcal{U})$.

Next, let us argue that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{U} . To this end, we are going to prove the following claims.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E)$.

525 By the definition of φ , we deduce that $\varphi(J_l(\frac{1}{2})) \subseteq X \times \{v_Y\} \subseteq E$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, if $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ is such that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by (3.8.1), $y_k \in \Gamma_q^Y$ and $x_l \neq p$, we have that $\varphi(t, u) \in \{x_l\} \times \Gamma_q^Y \subseteq E$.

Claim 2. $J_0(e) = J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$ where $e \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is such that $g_Y(y_0, 2e - 1) = q$.

530 First, notice that $\varphi(J_0(\frac{1}{2})) \subseteq X \times \{v_Y\} \subseteq E$. Second, by (3.1.6), if $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, e]$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times v_Y q \subseteq E$. This proves that $J_0(e)$ is a subset of $\varphi^{-1}(E)$. Now, let $t \in I$ be such that $\varphi(t, 0) \in E$. Assume that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$. By (3.1.6), then $\varphi(t, 0) \in \{z\} \times g_Y(\{y_0\} \times [0, 2e - 1])$. Hence, in light of (3.1.3), we deduce that $t \leq e$. In conclusion, $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E) \subseteq J_0(e)$.

Claim 3. $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(G) = \emptyset$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

535 Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. From the fact that $z \notin g_X(\{x_l\} \times I)$, we deduce that $\varphi(J_l) \cap G = \emptyset$ (see (3.1.6)). This shows our claim.

Claim 4. $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(G) = \{(t, 0) \in J_0 : t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$.

540 If $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, since $x_0 = z$, we obtain that $\varphi(t, u) \in G$. Hence, $\{(t, 0) \in J_0 : t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ is a subset of $\varphi^{-1}(G)$. Now, let $t \in I$ be such that $\varphi(t, 0) \in G$. By (3.1.5), we deduce that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$. This finishes the proof of our claim.

So, invoke claims 1-4 to prove that $\varphi^{-1}(E) = J_0(e) \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G) = \{(t, 0) \in J_0 : t \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ are connected. This implies that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{U} .

Finally, we have $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, \mathcal{U} , F_H , φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ fulfil all our requirements.

Therefore, Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

Lemma 3.13. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids, let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$. If $p \in \text{Ncut}(X) - E(X)$, $q \in Y - E(Y)$, $z \in v_X p - \{v_X, p\}$ and $T \in \Delta_Y(q)$, then $((X \times (\Gamma_q^Y \cup T)) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$ has property (b).*

PROOF. For sake of simplicity denote $((X \times (\Gamma_q^Y \cup T)) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$ by Z . To show that Z has property (b), by Theorem 3.6 it suffices to verify that there exists a covering \mathcal{U} of Z such that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b) and Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

In order to define \mathcal{U} , set $E = ((X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$ and $G = ((X \times T) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$. Consider $\mathcal{U} = \{E, G\}$. Observe that \mathcal{U} is a covering of Z and $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. Next, we are going to show that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b).

Notice that E and G has property (b) by Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.12. Thus, each element of \mathcal{U} has property (b).

Now, set $M = \{z\} \times Y$. We have that M is a connected closed subset of Z having property (b). Notice that $M \cap E = M = M \cap G$ are connected. On other hand, from the fact that $p \in \text{Ncut}(X) - E(X)$, we have that $X \times \{q\} - \{(p, q)\}$ is connected. Hence, the equality $E \cap G = M \cup ((X \times \{q\}) - \{(p, q)\})$ shows that $E \cap G$ is connected. Now, take $L(E, G) = G$. Then $L(E, G)$ has property (b), the sets $L(E, G) \cap E = E \cap G$, $L(E, G) \cap G = G$ and $L(E, G) \cap M = M$ are connected, the inclusion $E \cap G \subseteq L(E, G)$ holds and $(M \cap E) \cup (M \cap G) = M \subseteq L(E, G) \cap M = M$. This finishes the proof that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b).

In order to prove that Z is \mathcal{U} -Maya space, let $(\langle(x_k, y_k)\rangle, (x_0, y_0)) \in \mathbb{S}(Z)$
 570 be arbitrary. Taking subsequences, if it is necessary, by Lemma [3.4](#) and the
 condition G is a closed subset of Z , we only need to assume that $\{(x_k, y_k) : k \in$
 $\mathbb{N}\} \subseteq E - G$, $(x_0, y_0) \in G - E$ and $(\langle(x_k, y_k)\rangle, (x_0, y_0)) \in \mathbb{S}^*(Z)$.

Since $(x_0, y_0) \in G - E$, we obtain that $x_0 \neq z$ and $y_0 \in T - \{q\}$. Thus, we
 may suppose that $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X - \{z\}$ and $\{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma_q^Y - \{q\}$.

575 Taking subsequences, if it is necessary, we consider the following cases.

Case I. $z \in v_X x_l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

In light of [\(3.8.4\)](#), we can consider the mapping $g_{\Omega_z^X}$. Let $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ be
 defined by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (z, g_Y(y_l, 2t)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (g_{\Omega_z^X}(x_l, 2t - 1), y_l), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Now, we are going to prove that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{U} . To this
 end, we shall show the following claims.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E) \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

580 If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{2})$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y \subseteq E \cap G$. Hence, $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq$
 $\varphi^{-1}(E) \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Claim 2. $J_0 \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

Let $(t, u) \in J_0$ be such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq t$. Since $y_0 \in T$, by [\(3.8.5\)](#), we deduce that
 $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times T) - \{(p, q)\} \subseteq G$. This and Claim 1 imply that $J_0 \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

585 **Claim 3.** $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) = J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. The inclusion $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$ is guaranteed by
 Claim 1. Since $y_l \notin T$, if $(t, u) \in J_l$ is such that $\varphi(t, u) \in G$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in$
 $\{z\} \times Y$ (see [\(3.8.5\)](#)) and, by [\(3.1.4\)](#) and $x_l \neq z$, we obtain that $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This
 shows that $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(G) \subseteq J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

590 **Claim 4.** $J_0(\frac{1}{2}) = J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$.

In light of Claim 1, we only need to prove that $J_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(E)$ is a subset of $J_0(\frac{1}{2})$. Let $t \in I$ be such that $\varphi(t, 0) \in E$. If t were greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, since $x_0 \neq z$, by (3.1.4), $\varphi(t, 0)$ would be an element of $(X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ and this would imply that $y_0 \in \Gamma_q^Y$, a contradiction. We conclude that $(t, 0) \in J_0(\frac{1}{2})$.

595 **Claim 5.** $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E)$.

If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ is such that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by (3.8.1), we have that $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}$. This and Claim 1 prove that each J_l is contained in $\varphi^{-1}(E)$.

Thus, by claims 1-5, we obtain that $\varphi^{-1}(E) = J_0(\frac{1}{2}) \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G) =$
600 $J_0 \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ are connected. We conclude that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{U} .

Observe that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{U})$. Therefore, \mathcal{U} , F_H , φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ fulfil all our requirements.

Case II. $z \notin v_X x_l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (z, g_Y(y_l, 3t)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ (g_X(z, 2 - 3t), y_l), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ (g_X(x_l, 3t - 2), y_l), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{2}{3} \leq t. \end{cases}$$

605 Next, let us show the connectedness of $\varphi^{-1}(E)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(G)$.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E) \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{3})$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y \subseteq E \cap G$. Hence, we obtain that $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E \cap G) = \varphi^{-1}(E) \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Claim 2. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E)$.

610 Let t be arbitrary. Claim 1 ensures that $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E)$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, let $(t, u) \in J_l$ be such that $t \geq \frac{1}{3}$. Then, since $y_l \in \Gamma_q^Y$, we deduce that $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\} \subseteq E$. Thus, $\varphi^{-1}(E)$ contains J_l for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 3. $J_0 \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G)$.

Since $y_0 \in T$, if $t \in [\frac{1}{3}, 1]$, then $\varphi(t, 0) \in (X \times T) - \{(p, q)\} \subseteq G$. This and
615 Claim 1 show that J_0 is a subset of $\varphi^{-1}(G)$.

Claim 4. $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) = J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. From Claim 1, it follows that $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$.
Now, let $(t, u) \in J_l$ be such that $\varphi(t, u) \in G$. The inclusion $y_l \in \Gamma_q^Y$ and (3.8,1)
imply that $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y$. Hence, by (3.8,1), $t \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and so $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(G)$ is
620 contained in $J_l(\frac{1}{3})$.

Finally, from claims 1 and 2, it follows that $J_0(\frac{1}{3}) \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(E)$. So,
since $J_0(\frac{1}{3}) \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l$ is a dense connected subset of F_H , we infer that $\varphi^{-1}(E)$
is connected. On the other hand, claims 1, 3 and 4 guarantees that $\varphi^{-1}(G) =$
 $J_0 \cup \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} J_l(\frac{1}{3})$ is connected. Then φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{U} .

625 We have that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{U})$ and \mathcal{U}, F_H ,
 φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ satisfy the required properties.

In conclusion, Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

4. Main Results

All results in this section together give the classification of points that make
630 a hole in the product of two smooth dendroids.

Each corollary below can be proved using similar arguments of the proof of
the previous theorem respectively.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $q \in Y$. If
 $v_X \in E(X)$, then (v_X, q) does not make a hole in $X \times Y$.*

635 **PROOF.** Our assumption $v_X \in E(X)$ guarantees that $X \in \Delta_X(v_X)$. So, ap-
plying Lemma 3.10 we obtain that $X \times Y - \{(v_X, q)\}$ has property (b). Invoke
Theorem 2.3 to prove that $X \times Y - \{(v_X, q)\}$ is unicoherent.

Corollary 4.2. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $p \in X$. If $v_Y \in E(Y)$, then (p, v_Y) does not make a hole in $X \times Y$.*

640 **Theorem 4.3.** *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$. If $p \in Ncut(X) - E(X)$ and $q \in Y - E(Y)$, then (p, q) does not make a hole in $X \times Y$.*

PROOF. In light of Corollary 3.7, we need to prove that there exists a covering \mathcal{U} of $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ such that $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect
645 property (b) and $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

Set $Z = X \times Y - \{(p, q)\}$ and fix $z \in v_X p - \{v_X, p\}$. For each $T \in \Delta_Y(q)$, let $U(T) = ((X \times (\Gamma_q^Y \cup T)) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$. Consider $\mathcal{U} = \{U(T) : T \in \Delta_Y(q)\}$. Notice that \mathcal{U} is a covering of Z and, by Lemma 3.13, each element of \mathcal{U} has property (b).

650 Let us argue that Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b). Consider $M = \{z\} \times Y$. Let $T \in \Delta_Y(q)$ be arbitrary. We have that $M \cap U(T) = M$ is connected. Now, notice that if $T_1, T_2 \in \Delta_Y(q)$, then $U(T_1) \cap U(T_2) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $T_1 \neq T_2$. Define $L(U(T_1), U(T_2)) = ((X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}) \cup (\{z\} \times Y)$. For sake of simplicity, L will represent to $L(U(T_1), U(T_2))$. Observe that L is
655 a connected subset of Z and Lemma 3.12 ensures that L has property (b). Moreover these sets satisfy: $U(T_1) \cap U(T_2) = L \cap U(T_1) = L \cap U(T_2) = L$ and $L \cap M = M$ are connected, and $(U(T_1) \cap M) \cup (U(T_2) \cap M) = M = L \cap M$. Thus, L fulfilling the conditions in the definition. Hence, Z is \mathcal{U} -covered with respect to property (b).

660 Now, in order to prove that Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space, let $((x_k, y_k), (x_0, y_0)) \in \mathbb{S}(Z)$ be arbitrary. Taking subsequences, if it is necessary, by Lemma 3.4, we may assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $T_k \in \Delta_Y(q)$ satisfying that $y_k \in T_k$ and we only consider the following cases .

Case I. $y_0 \neq q$ and $z \in v_X x_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

665 Then we may assume that each $y_k \neq q$. Let $T_0 \in \Delta_Y(q)$ be such that $y_0 \in T_0$. Consider $\mathcal{V} = \{U(T_k) : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$. In light of [\(3.8.4\)](#), we may consider the mapping $g_{\Omega_z^X}$ and $g_{\Omega_q^Y}$. Define $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (z, g_{\Omega_q^Y}(y_l, 2t)) & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (g_{\Omega_z^X}(x_l, 2t - 1), y_l) & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \end{cases}$$

to get a map. Observe that $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\cap \mathcal{V})$. Now, we are going to prove that $\varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ is connected for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be arbitrary.
670 Set $A = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : \text{either } y_k \in T_l \text{ or } x_k = z\}$ and $B = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : y_k \notin T_l \text{ and } x_k \neq z\}$.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

Observe that if $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l$ is such that $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y \subseteq U(T_k)$. Hence, we obtain that $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

675 **Claim 2.** $\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

From Claim 1, it follows that $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ for each $l \in A$. Now, let $l \in A$ be arbitrary and let $(t, u) \in J_l$ be such that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$. If $y_l \in T_k$, by [\(3.8.5\)](#), we have that $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times T_k) - \{(p, q)\} \subseteq U(T_k)$. Under the assumption $x_k = z$, by the definition of $g_{\Omega_z^X}$, we obtain that $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y \subseteq U(T_k)$. So,
680 the inclusion $J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ holds.

Claim 3. $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) = J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ for each $l \in B$.

Let $l \in B$ be arbitrary. The inclusion $J_l(\frac{1}{2}) \subseteq J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ is guaranteed by Claim 1. Next, let $(t, u) \in J_l$ be such that $\varphi(t, u) \in U(T_k)$. Since $y_k \notin \Gamma_q^Y \cup T_l$, we obtain that $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y$. From our assumption $x_k \neq z$ and [\(3.1.4\)](#), it
685 follows that $t \not\geq \frac{1}{2}$. So, $(t, u) \in J_l(\frac{1}{2})$.

From claims 1, 2 and 3, we infer that $\varphi^{-1}(U(T_k)) = \left(\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l \in B} J_l(\frac{1}{2})\right)$ is connected. Therefore, φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} .

Finally, notice that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and so, \mathcal{V} , F_H , φ and $\langle(1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0)\rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ satisfy the required properties.

690 **Case II.** $y_0 \neq q$ and $z \notin v_X x_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

From our assumption $y_0 \in Y - \{q\}$, we may assume that $\{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq Y - \{q\}$. Let $T_0 \in \Delta_Y(q)$ be such that $y_0 \in T_0$. Consider $\mathcal{V} = \{U(T_k) : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$. In light of (3.8.5), we can consider the mapping $g_{\Omega_q^Y}$. Define $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (z, g_{\Omega_q^Y}(y_l, 3t)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ (g_X(z, 2 - 3t), y_l), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ (g_X(x_l, 3t - 2), y_l), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{2}{3} \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Then φ is a map. Let us show that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} . Let
695 $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be arbitrary. Set $A = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : y_l \in T_k\}$ and $B = \{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : y_l \notin T_k\}$. We are going to prove the following claims.

Claim 1. $\bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

If $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} J_l$ is such that $t \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and by (3.8.5), then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y \subseteq U(T_k)$. Hence, $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

700 **Claim 2.** $\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

Let $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in A} J_l$ be arbitrary. In light of Claim 1, we only need to assume that $t \geq \frac{1}{3}$. Then $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times T_k) - \{(p, q)\} \subseteq U(T_k)$. So, $(t, u) \in \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

Claim 3. $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) = J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ for each $l \in B$.

705 Let $l \in B$ be arbitrary. First, let $(t, u) \in J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ be arbitrary. The condition $y_l \notin \Gamma_q^Y \cup T_k$ implies that $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y$. Now, since $z \notin v_X x_l$ and (3.1.5) holds, we have that $t \not\geq \frac{1}{3}$. Thus, $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k)) \subseteq J_l(\frac{1}{3})$. The inclusion $J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \subseteq J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ follows from Claim 1.

Thus, in light of claims 1, 2 and 3, we have that $\varphi^{-1}(U(T_k)) = \left(\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \right) \cup$
710 $\left(\bigcup_{l \in B} J_l(\frac{1}{3}) \right)$ is connected. So, φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} .

Observe that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(0, 0) \in \varphi^{-1}(\bigcap \mathcal{V})$. Then \mathcal{V} , F_H , φ and $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ fulfil all our requirements.

Case III. $y_0 = q$.

Then $x_0 \neq p$ and so we may assume that each $x_l \neq p$. Consider $\mathcal{V} = \{U(T_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Observe that $\{(x_k, y_k) : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$. Define $\varphi : F_H \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\varphi(t, u) = \begin{cases} (g_X(x_l, 2t), v_Y), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (x_l, g_Y(y_l, 2t - 1)), & \text{if } (t, u) \in J_l \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq t, \end{cases}$$

to get a map.

715 Now, we shall prove that φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. Set $A = \{l \in \mathbb{N} : \text{either } y_l \in T_k \text{ or } x_l = z\}$ and $B = \{l \in \mathbb{N} : y_l \notin T_k \text{ and } x_l \neq z\}$. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $e_l \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ be the unique point such that $g_Y(y_l, 2e_l - 1) = q$ (see [\(3.1.6\)](#)). Let us show the following claims.

Claim 1. $J_0 \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

720 If $t \in I$, then $\varphi(t, 0) \in (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\} \subseteq U(T_k)$. This proves that J_0 is a subset of $\varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

Claim 2. $\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$.

Let $(t, u) \in \bigcup_{l \in A} J_l$ be arbitrary. The inclusion $(t, u) \in J_l(\frac{1}{2})$ and the definition of φ guarantee that $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\} \subseteq U(T_k)$. Now, since either 725 $y_l \in T_k$ or $x_l = z$, if $(t, u) \in J_l$ is such that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then either $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times (\Gamma_q^Y \cup T_k)) - \{(p, q)\}$ or $\varphi(t, u) \in \{z\} \times Y$. Thus, $J_l \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ for each $l \in A$.

Claim 3. $J_l(e_l) = J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$ for each $l \in B$.

Let $l \in B$ be arbitrary. First, if $(t, u) \in J_l(e_l)$, then $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - 730 \{(p, q)\} \subseteq U(T_k)$. This shows that $J_l(e_l)$ is contained in $J_l \cap \varphi^{-1}(U(T_k))$. Second, let $(t, u) \in J_l$ be such that $\varphi(t, u) \in U(T_k)$. Our assumptions $y_l \notin T_k$ and $x_l \neq z$ imply that $\varphi(t, u) \in (X \times \Gamma_q^Y) - \{(p, q)\}$. Assume that $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\varphi(t, u) \in \{x_l\} \times g(\{y_l\} \times [0, 2e_l, 1])$. By [\(3.1.3\)](#), we infer that $t \leq e_l$. Thus, $(t, u) \in J_l(e_l)$.

735 So, from claims 1, 2 and 3, we deduce that $\varphi^{-1}(U(T_k)) = \left(\bigcup_{l \in A} J_l \right) \cup$
 $\left(\bigcup_{l \in B} J_l(e_l) \right)$ is connected. Thus, φ is monotone with respect to \mathcal{V} .
 Observe that $\varphi(1, \frac{1}{k}) = (x_k, y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In conclusion, \mathcal{V} , F_H , φ and
 $\langle (1, \frac{1}{k}), (1, 0) \rangle \in \mathbb{S}(F_H)$ satisfy the required properties.

Therefore, Z is a \mathcal{U} -Maya space.

740 **Corollary 4.4.** *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $(p, q) \in$
 $X \times Y$. If $p \in X - E(X)$ and $q \in Ncut(Y) - E(Y)$, then (p, q) does not make
 a hole in $X \times Y$.*

Theorem 4.5. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $(p, q) \in$
 $X \times Y$. If either $p \in E(X) - \{v_X\}$ or $q \in E(Y) - \{v_Y\}$, then (p, q) does not
 745 make a hole in $X \times Y$.*

PROOF. Set $Z = (X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$. To show that Z is unicoherent, by Propo-
 sition [2.2](#) and Theorem [2.3](#), it suffices to verify that Z is contractible.

Define $\Psi : Z \times I \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\Psi((x, y), t) = (g_X(x, t), g_Y(y, t))$$

for each $((x, y), t) \in Z \times I$. To check that Ψ is well defined, let $((x, y), t) \in$
 $Z \times I$ be arbitrary. Suppose that $\Psi((x, y), t) = (p, q)$. Then $g_X(x, t) = p$ and
 750 $g_Y(y, t) = q$.

Suppose $p \in E(X) - \{v_X\}$. By the definition of g_X , we obtain that $p \in v_X x$
 and, our assumption implies $p = x$. Hence, $g_X(p, t) = p$. Thus, by [\(3.1.5\)](#), the
 equalities $t = 1$ and $y = q$ hold. So $(x, y) = (p, q) \notin Z$, a contradiction. We
 conclude that $\Psi((x, y), t) \in Z$ and Ψ is well defined.

755 The continuity of Ψ follows from the fact that g_X and g_Y are continuous (see
[\(3.1.2\)](#)). Finally, using [\(3.1.4\)](#) and [\(3.1.5\)](#), it can be proved that $\Psi((x, y), 1) =$
 (x, y) and $\Psi((x, y), 0) = (v_X, v_Y)$ for each $(x, y) \in Z$. We conclude that Z is
 contractible.

Theorem 4.6. *Let X and Y be continua such that $X \times Y$ is unicoherent and
760 let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$. If $(p, q) \in \text{Cut}(X) \times \text{Cut}(Y)$, then (p, q) makes a hole in
 $X \times Y$.*

PROOF. Since $X - \{p\}$ is not connected, there exist non-degenerate subcontinua
 H and G of X such that $X = H \cup G$ and $H \cap G = \{p\}$. Notice that $(H \times Y) -$
 $\{(p, q)\}$ and $(G \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ are connected closed subsets of $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$
765 whose union is $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ and their intersection is homeomorphic to
 $Y - \{q\}$ which is not connected. This proves that $(X \times Y) - \{(p, q)\}$ is not
unicoherent.

Classification

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X, v_X) and (Y, v_Y) be smooth dendroids and let $(p, q) \in$
770 $X \times Y$. Then (p, q) makes a hole in $X \times Y$ if and only if $(p, q) \in \text{Cut}(X) \times \text{Cut}(Y)$.*

PROOF. Let $(p, q) \in X \times Y$ be such that (p, q) makes a hole in $X \times Y$. First,
notice that $X = E(X) \cup \text{Cut}(X) \cup \text{Ncut}(X)$, $Y = E(Y) \cup \text{Cut}(Y) \cup \text{Ncut}(Y)$,
 $E(X) \subseteq \text{Ncut}(X)$ and $E(Y) \subseteq \text{Ncut}(Y)$. Second, since (p, q) makes a hole in
 $X \times Y$, by Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 4.5, we infer that $p \notin E(X)$
775 and $q \notin E(Y)$. So, we deduce that $p \in \text{Cut}(X) \cup (\text{Ncut}(X) - E(X))$ and
 $q \in \text{Cut}(Y) \cup (\text{Ncut}(Y) - E(Y))$. From Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4, it
follows that $p \in \text{Cut}(X)$ and $q \in \text{Cut}(Y)$.

The converse follows from Theorem 4.6.

- [1] A. Illanes, Dendrites with unique hyperspace $F_2(X)$, JP J. Geom. Topol.
780 2 (1) (2002) 75–96.
- [2] A. Illanes, The hyperspace $C_2(X)$ for a finite graph X is unique, Glas. Mat.
Ser. 37(57) (2002) 347–363.
- [3] J. G. Anaya, Making holes in hyperspaces, Topology Appl 154 (10) (2007)
2000–2008.

- 785 [4] S. Eilenberg, Sur les transformations d'espaces métriques en circonférence, Fund. Math 24 (1935) 160–176.
- [5] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. II, Academic Press and PWN, New York, London and Warszawa, 1968.
- [6] S. Eilenberg, Transformations continues en circonférence et la topologie du
790 plan, Fund. Math. 26 (1936) 61–112.
- [7] A. Illanes, J. S. B. Nadler, Hyperspaces: Fundamentals and Recents Advances, , Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.
- [8] E. Santillán, Unique factorization in cartesian products, Morfismos 2 (1) (1998) 23–37.
- 795 [9] G. T. Whyburn, Analytic Topology, Vol. 28, AMS Colloquium Publications, Providence, R. I., 1942.

BIBLIOGRAFÍA UTILIZADA

- [1] J. G. Anaya, *Making holes in hyperspaces*, *Topology Appl* **154** (2007), no. 10, 2000–2008.
- [2] S. Eilenberg, *Sur les transformations d'espaces métriques en circonférence*, *Fund. Math* **24** (1935), 160–176.
- [3] ———, *Transformations continues en circonférence et la topologie du plan*, *Fund. Math.* **26** (1936), 61–112.
- [4] A. Illanes, *Dendrites with unique hyperspace $F_2(X)$* , *JP J. Geom. Topol.* **2** (2002), no. 1, 75–96.
- [5] ———, *The hyperspace $C_2(X)$ for a finite graph X is unique*, *Glas. Mat. Ser.* **37(57)** (2002), 347–363.
- [6] A. Illanes and Jr. S. B. Nadler, *Hyperspaces: Fundamentals and recent advances*, , Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.
- [7] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. II, Academic Press and PWN, New York, London and Warszawa, 1968.
- [8] E. Santillán, *Unique factorization in cartesian products*, *Morfismos* **2** (1998), no. 1, 23–37.
- [9] G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, vol. 28, AMS Colloquium Publications, Providence, R. I., 1942.